

### 9.3 Snevily's conjecture

In [322], Snevily made the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 9.15 (Snevily's conjecture)** [322] *Let  $Z$  be an additive group of odd order and let  $A, B$  be two additive sets in  $Z$  with  $|A| = |B|$ . Then there is a bijection  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  such that the sums  $\{a + \phi(a) : a \in A\}$  are all distinct.*

The general case of this conjecture remains open, but many special cases are known. For instance, using the combinatorial Nullstellensatz, Alon [5] showed that the conjecture holds for cyclic groups of prime order.

**Theorem 9.16** [5] *Let  $F = F_p$  where  $p > 2$  is an odd prime and let  $A, B$  be two additive sets in  $F$  with  $|A| = |B|$ . Then there is a bijection  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  such that the sums  $\{a + \phi(a) : a \in A\}$  are all distinct.*

*Proof* If  $A = B$  then one can simply choose  $\pi$  to be the identity map, taking advantage of the fact that  $p$  is odd, so we may assume  $A \neq B$ . In particular we can take  $|A| = |B| < p$ . Enumerate  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ , and let  $P \in F[t_1, \dots, t_k]$  be the polynomial

$$P(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (t_j - t_i)(t_j - t_i + a_i - a_j).$$

Then  $\deg(P) = k(k-1)$ . Also, from Theorem 9.11, the coefficient of  $x_1^{k-1} \dots x_k^{k-1}$  in  $P$  is  $k! \cdot 1$ , which is non-zero in  $F_p$  since  $k < p$ . Applying the combinatorial Nullstellensatz, there is an  $s_i \in S_i$  such that  $P(s_1, \dots, s_k) \neq 0$ . This means  $s_j - s_i \neq 0$  and  $s_j - s_i + a_i - a_j \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ . If we then define  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  by setting  $\phi(a_i) := s_i$  we thus see that  $\phi$  is injective (hence surjective), and that the sums  $a_i + \phi(a_i) = a_i + s_i$  are all distinct, as desired.  $\square$

Let us notice that in the case  $k < p$ , we never used the assumption that the elements of  $A$  are different, and in this case one can in fact generalize to arbitrary fields of characteristic  $p$  or 0; see Exercise 9.3.2. Also, observe that the proof only used a very special case of Dyson's conjecture. Using this conjecture in full generality and modifying the rest of the proof accordingly, we have the following more general result.

**Theorem 9.17** *Let  $F = F_p$  a field of prime order, let  $k < p$ , and let  $R_1, \dots, R_k$  be additive sets in  $F_p$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k |R_i| < p$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in F_p$ , and let  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  be subsets of  $F_p$  with cardinality  $|B_i| > (k-1)(r_i + 1)$ . Then there are  $k$  pairwise distinct elements  $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ , where  $b_i \in B_i$ , such that the sums  $a_i + b_i$  are pairwise distinct and for every  $i \neq j$ ,  $a_i + b_i - (a_j + b_j) \notin R_i$ .*

*Proof* Let  $P \in F[t_1, \dots, t_k]$  be the polynomial

$$P(t_1, \dots, t_k) := \left[ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (t_i - t_j)(a_i + t_i - a_j - t_j) \right] \\ \times \prod_{i,j \in [1,k]: i \neq j} \prod_{r \in R_i} (a_i + t_i - a_j - t_j - r).$$

Then  $\deg(P) = \sum_{i=1}^k (k-1)(|R_i| + 1)$ . Also, by Theorem 9.11 the coefficient of  $\prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq k} \prod_{r \in R_i} x_i^{(k-1)(|R_i|+1)}$  in  $P$  is, up to sign,

$$\pm \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^k (|R_i| + 1))!}{\prod_{i=1}^k (|R_i| + 1)!} \cdot 1$$

which is non-zero in  $F_p$ , since  $\sum_{i=1}^k (r_i + 1) < p$  by the assumption of the theorem. The claim now follows from the combinatorial Nullstellensatz.  $\square$

DasGupta, Károlyi, Serra and Szegedy [67] obtained a multiplicative version of Snevily's conjecture. Define the *Vandermonde permanent*  $\text{Per}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of  $n$  variables to be the quantity

$$\text{Per}_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\pi(i)-1}$$

(cf. (9.3).)

**Lemma 9.18** [67] *Let  $F$  be an arbitrary field and  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be elements of  $F$ . Assume that the Vandermonde permanent  $\text{Per}_k(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  is non-zero. The for any subset  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$  of  $F$  there is a permutation  $\pi \in S_k$  such that the products  $a_1 b_{\pi(1)}, \dots, a_k b_{\pi(k)}$  are all distinct.*

*Proof* Let  $f \in F[t_1, \dots, t_k]$  be the polynomial

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_k) := \Delta_k(t_1, \dots, t_k) \Delta_k(a_1 t_1, \dots, a_k t_k).$$

Then  $\deg(f) \leq k(k-1)$ . Set  $S_1 = B, \dots, S_k = B$ . By the combinatorial Nullstellensatz, it suffices to show that the coefficient of  $t_1^{k-1} \dots t_k^{k-1}$  is not zero. Notice that

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \left( \sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^{\sigma(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^k t_{\pi(i)}^{i-1} \right) \left( \sum_{\tau \in S_k} (-1)^{\sigma(\tau)} \prod_{i=1}^k (a_{\tau(i)} t_{\tau(i)}^{i-1}) \right) \\ = \left( \sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^{\sigma(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^k t_{\pi(i)}^{i-1} \right) \left( \sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^{\binom{k}{2} - \sigma(\pi)} \prod_{i=1}^k (a_{\pi(i)} t_{\pi(i)})^{k-i} \right).$$

Thus the coefficient in concern is exactly

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_k} (-1)^{\binom{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^k a_{\pi(i)}^{i-1} = (-1)^{\binom{k}{2}} \text{Per}_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) \cdot 1,$$

which is not zero due to the assumption of the lemma. The proof is thus complete. (For an alternative proof, see Exercise 9.3.3.)  $\square$

One can convert this multiplicative statement to an additive statement by embedding additive group as a multiplicative subgroup of a suitable field. For instance, one can now show that Snevily's conjecture holds for cyclic groups of odd order:

**Corollary 9.19** [67] *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an odd number, and let  $A, B$  be two additive sets in  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  such that  $|A| = |B|$ . Then there exists a bijection  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  such that the sums  $\{a + \phi(a) : a \in A\}$  are all distinct.*

*Proof* We shall use the theory of finite fields, which we shall review in Section 9.4. Let  $\mathbf{Z}_n^\times$  be the multiplicatively invertible elements of  $n$ , and let  $\phi(n) := |\mathbf{Z}_n^\times|$  be the Euler totient function of  $n$ . By Cauchy's theorem (Exercise 3.1.2), we have  $2^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Let  $F$  be a finite field of order  $2^{\phi(n)}$  and characteristic 2 (the existence of such a field follows from Exercise 9.4.4). From Lemma 9.22, the multiplicative group  $F^\times$  of  $F$  contains an element of order  $n$ , and hence contains a subgroup  $G$  isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ . It now suffices to verify the multiplicative form of Snevily's conjecture for  $G$ . But if  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  is a subset of  $G$ , then since  $F$  has characteristic 2 one can replace permanents with determinants and compute

$$\text{Per}_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \Delta_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (a_j - a_i) \neq 0.$$

The claim now follows from Lemma 9.18.  $\square$

A variant of this argument gives a strengthened version of the above result when the cyclic group has order  $p^k$ .

**Theorem 9.20** [67] *Let  $p > 2$  be an odd prime, let  $q = p^\alpha$  be power of  $p$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ , let  $1 \leq k < p$ , and let  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  be elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_q$ . Then for any set  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}_q$  of cardinality  $k$ , there exists a permutation  $\pi \in S_k$  such that the sums  $a_i + b_{\pi(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  are all distinct.*

*Proof* We will need the machinery of cyclotomic fields, which we shall review in Section 9.8. Let  $\omega$  be a primitive  $q$ th root of unity, and let  $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$  be the associated cyclotomic field. Observe that  $\mathbf{Q}(\omega)$  contains the multiplicative subgroup  $G := \{\xi^n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \subset \mathbf{Q}(\omega)$  which is group isomorphic to the additive group  $\mathbf{Z}_q$ . Thus it suffices to show that for any  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in G$  and any  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subseteq G$